

The 2022 Virginia School Safety Survey DATA BY QUESTION

Background

The Virginia School Safety Survey is intended to provide schools, divisions, and the Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) with information on how best practices for school safety are being implemented across Virginia. The results of this survey provide valuable information on training and resources needed across the state. The survey is updated each year in anticipation of emerging best practices and to gather data to inform policymakers. The web-based Virginia School Safety Survey was developed and administered by the DCJS Virginia Center for School and Campus Safety (VCSCS).

In May 2022, all Virginia school division Emergency Managers received directions for the 2022 School Safety Survey. Submission of the online survey is one component of the Virginia School Safety Audit requirement (*Code of Virginia* § 22.1-279.8). The divisions received information about the survey, including survey instructions, a preview list of survey questions, and a link to the school survey. Divisions were instructed to inform each of their school principals about the School Safety Survey requirements and to provide them with the link and access code. Principals were instructed to complete the survey within one month of the end of the 2021–2022 school year, but not before students' last day.

School principals or their designees completed the web-based survey during the months of May through August of 2022, providing information that reflected conditions in their schools during the 2021–2022 school year.

For the 2021-2022 school year the public school system in Virginia consisted of 132 public school divisions, the Virginia Department of Juvenile Justice Division of Education, as well as Virginia's Academic-Year Governor's Schools, Regional Alternative Education Programs, Regional Career and Technical Programs, and the Virginia School for the Deaf and the Blind. This report includes responses from all 1,982 traditional K-12 public schools operating¹ in Virginia during the 2021–2022 school year; non-traditional school responses such as regional programs and Governor's Schools are represented in The Non-Traditional School Safety Survey Data by Question report, which can be found on the VCSCS website.

Interpreting the Findings

Gray bars indicate survey branching based on respondent answers. If the response did not trigger the follow-up question(s), the question(s) was/were not presented to the respondent.

Many questions requested the reporting of numbers on various topics. These results are reported as such:

Total = Sum of all responses

Schools = Number of schools that reported numbers >1

Mean = Average number reported for schools that reported numbers >1

Range = Spread of numbers reported >1

Percentages have been rounded to the nearest whole number, resulting in some overall percentages exceeding 100%.

Should you have any questions, please contact the Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) Virginia Center for School and Campus Safety (VCSCS):

Nikki Wilcox: (804) 786-3923 • nikki.wilcox@dcjs.virginia.gov or James Christian: (804) 357-0967 • james.christian@dcjs.virginia.gov

¹ For purposes of this survey, DCJS defined "school" as any separate physical structure that houses and instructs public school students during school hours. This is different from the Virginia Department of Education's (VDOE's) definition and is why their count of the number of schools is different. VDOE defines a school as "a publicly funded institution where students are enrolled for all or a majority of the instructional day; those students are reported in fall membership at the institution and the institution, at minimum, meets requirements adopted by the Board of Education."

I. SCHOOL IDENTIFICATION AND DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

1.	What is your division and school name?	 Middle 3. High 352.	16, 1 , 189 0, 79	•
2.	If we have any questions about your survey responses, we would like to be able to contact you. Please provide your contact information: individual completing the survey	First Name: Last Name: Email: Phone Number:		
3.	What is your role in the school?	Phone Number: N=1982 Principal/Director 1,298, 65% Associate/Assistant Principal 623, 31% Dean of Students 18, 1% SSO 15, 1% Site/Program Coordinator 7, 0.4% Administrative Intern/Aide 6, 0.3% Safety Coordinator/Chair/Specialist 5, 0.3% Other 10, 0.5% (Director of Student Services, Assistant Superintendent, Athletic Director, Chief of Schools, Hearing Officer, Instructional Compliance Coordinator, School Counselor, School Psychologist, and Senior Teacher)		
	If you are	NOT the act	ting	g principal
3	Ba. Please provide the name and email address for your current/acting principal.	First Name: Last Name: Email:		
Is y	our school/program 100% virtual	N=1,982 o Yes 21, o No 1,9 *schools answe related to havir related question	57, 9 ring ig ph	99% this question as a "Yes" were not asked that directly hysical buildings such as crisis plan activation, drill

II. SAFETY-RELATED PERSONNEL AND PARTNERSHIPS

4. Which law Abingdon Police Department, 2, 0.10% Lancaster County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% enforcement agency Accomack County Sheriff's Office, 11, 0.56% Lee County Sheriff's Office, 9, 0.46% did you collaborate Albemarle County Police Department, 21, 1.07% Leesburg Police Department, 12, 0.61% with on your 2022 Alexandria City Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Lexington Police Department, 2, 0.10% School Safety Audit? Alexandria Police Department, 17, 0.87% Loudoun County Sheriff's Office, 81, 4.14% Alleghany County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Louisa County Sheriff's Office, 8, 0.41% Altavista Police Department, 1, 0.05% N=1957 Lunenburg County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Amelia County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Lynchburg Police Department, 19, 0.97% Amherst County Sheriff's Office, 9, 0.46% Madison County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Amherst Police Department, 1, 0.05% Manassas City Police Department, 7, 0.36% Appomattox County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Manassas Park City Police Dept., 6, 0.31% Arlington County Police Department, 40, 2.04% Martinsville Police Department, 3, 0.15% Augusta County Sheriff's Office, 20, 1.02% Martinsville Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Bath County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Mathews County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Bedford County Sheriff's Office, 21, 1.07% Mecklenburg County Sheriff's Office, 6, 0.31% Blacksburg Police Department, 5, 0.26% Bland County Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Bluefield Police Department, 1, 0.05% Botetourt County Sheriff's Office, 12, 0.61% Bristol City Sheriff's Office, 1, 0.05% Bristol Police Department, 5, 0.26% Brunswick County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Buchanan County Sheriff's Office, 9, 0.46% Buckingham County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Buena Vista Police Department, 4, 0.20% Campbell County Sheriff's Office, 14, 0.72% Caroline County Sheriff's Office, 7, 0.36% Carroll County Sheriff's Office, 9, 0.46% Cedar Bluff Police Department, 1, 0.05% Charles City County Sheriff's Office, 1, 0.05% Charlotte County Sheriff's Office, 6, 0.31% Charlottesville Police Department, 10, 0.51% Chase City Police Department, 1, 0.05% Chesapeake Police Department, 46, 2.35% Chesterfield County Police Department, 63, 3.22% Chesterfield County Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Christiansburg Police Department, 5, 0.26% Clarke County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Clarksville Police Department, 1, 0.05% Colonial Beach Police Department, 2, 0.10% Colonial Heights Police Department, 7, 0.36% Covington Police Department, 3, 0.15% Craig County Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Culpeper County Sheriff's Office, 12, 0.61% Cumberland County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Danville Police Department, 14, 0.72% Dickenson County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Dinwiddie County Sheriff's Office, 7, 0.36% Dumfries Police Department, 1, 0.05% Essex County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Exmore Police Department, 1, 0.05% Fairfax City Police Department, 15, 0.77% Fairfax County Police Department, 180, 9.20% Falls Church Police Department, 5, 0.26% Fauquier County Sheriff's Office, 20, 1.02% Floyd County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Fluvanna County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Franklin County Sheriff's Office, 13, 0.66% Franklin Police Department, 3, 0.15% Frederick County Sheriff's Office, 21, 1.07% Fredericksburg Police Department, 5, 0.26% Galax Police Department, 3, 0.15% Giles County Sheriff's Office, 6, 0.31% Gloucester County Sheriff's Office, 8, 0.41% Goochland County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Grayson County Sheriff's Office, 7, 0.36% Greene County Sheriff's Office, 6, 0.31% Greensville County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Gretna Police Department, 1, 0.05% Halifax County Sheriff's Office, 7, 0.36%

Middleburg Police Department, 1, 0.05% Middlesex County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Montgomery County Sheriff's Office, 10, 0.51% Nelson County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% New Kent County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Newport News City Sheriff's Office, 1, 0.05% Newport News Police Department, 38, 1.94% Norfolk City Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Norfolk Police Department, 44, 2.25% Northampton County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Northumberland County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Norton Police Department, 3, 0.15% Nottoway County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Orange County Sheriff's Office, 10, 0.51% Page County Sheriff's Office, 8, 0.41% Patrick County Sheriff's Office, 7, 0.36% Petersburg Police Department, 8, 0.41% Pittsylvania County Sheriff's Office, 20, 1.02% Poquoson Police Department, 4, 0.20% Portsmouth City Sheriff's Office, 11, 0.56% Portsmouth Police Department, 11, 0.56% Powhatan County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Prince Edward County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Prince George County Police Department, 10, 0.51% Prince William County Police Department, 91, 4.65% Pulaski County Sheriff's Office, 7, 0.36% Radford Police Department, 4, 0.20% Rappahannock County Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Richlands Police Department, 3, 0.15% Richmond County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Richmond Police Department, 44, 2.25% Roanoke City Police Department, 11, 0.56% Roanoke City Sheriff's Office, 18, 0.92% Roanoke County Police Department, 22, 1.12% Roanoke County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% Rockbridge County Sheriff's Office, 6, 0.31% Rockingham Co. Sheriff's Office, 24, 1.23% Rocky Mount Police Department, 1, 0.05% Russell County Sheriff's Office, 12, 0.61% Salem City Sheriff's Office, 1, 0.05% Salem Police Department, 6, 0.31% Scott County Sheriff's Office, 14, 0.72% Shenandoah County Sheriff's Office, 9, 0.46% Smithfield Police Department, 1, 0.05% Smyth County Sheriff's Office, 13, 0.66% South Boston Police Department, 3, 0.15% Southampton County Sheriff's Office, 6, 0.31% Spotsylvania County Sheriff's Office, 31, 1.58% Stafford County Sheriff's Office, 33, 1.69% Stanley Police Department, 1, 0.05% Staunton Police Department, 6, 0.31% Suffolk Police Department, 21, 1.07% Surry County Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Sussex County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Tazewell County Sheriff's Office, 9, 0.46%

Halifax Police Department, 1, 0.05% Hallwood Police Department, 1, 0.05% Hampton Police Department, 32, 1.64% Hanover County Sheriff's Office, 25, 1.28% Harrisonburg Police Department, 9, 0.46% Haymarket Police Department, 1, 0.05% Henrico County Division Of Police, 68, 3.47% Henrico County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Henry County Sheriff's Office, 12, 0.61% Highland County Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Hopewell City Sheriff's Office, 1, 0.05% Hopewell Police Department, 6, 0.31% Isle Of Wight County Sheriff's Office, 9, 0.46% James City County Police Department, 11, 0.56% Jonesville Police Department, 1, 0.05% King & Queen County Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% King George County Sheriff's Office, 5, 0.26% King William County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20%

Tazewell Police Department, 2, 0.10% Timberville Police Department, 1, 0.05% Vienna Police Department, 1, 0.05% Vinton Police Department, 1, 0.05% Virginia Beach City Sheriff's Office, 3, 0.15% Virginia Beach Police Department, 77, 3.93% Virginia State Police, 1, 0.05% Warren County Sheriff's Office, 12, 0.61% Washington County Sheriff's Office, 14, 0.72% Waynesboro Police Department, 7, 0.36% West Point Police Department, 2, 0.10% Westmoreland County Sheriff's Office, 4, 0.20% Williamsburg - James City County Sheriff's Office, 2, 0.10% Williamsburg Police Department, 3, 0.15% Winchester Police Department, 7, 0.36% Wise County Sheriff's Office, 13, 0.66% Woodstock Police Department, 1, 0.05%

Wythe County Sheriff's Office, 13, 0.66% York - Poquoson Sheriff's Office, 19, 0.97%

School Resource Officers and Certified School Security Officers

School Resource Officer (SRO) is defined in § 9.1-101, Code of Virginia, as "...a certified law enforcement officer hired by the local law enforcement agency to provide law enforcement and security services to Virginia public elementary and secondary schools."

School Security Officer (SSO) is defined in § 9.1-101, Code of Virginia, as "... an individual who is employed by the local school board or a private or religious school for the singular purpose of maintaining order and discipline, preventing crime, investigating violations of the policies of the school board or the private or religious school, and detaining students violating the law or the policies of the school board or the private or religious school property, school buses, or at school-sponsored events and who is responsible solely for ensuring the safety, security, and welfare of all students, faculty, staff, and visitors in the assigned school.

5. What types of safety/security personnel were working in your school during the 2021–2022 school year?

N=1982	Number dedicated to the school during all	Number present only part of the	Number you share with	How has this 2021?	nas this number changed since 2020–	ed since 2020–
	another school	Increased	Decreased	Remained the same		
School resource officers	Total 769	Total 497	Total 787	50 schools	113 schools	1,794 schools
(SROs) (employed as a	Schools 681	Schools 392	Schools 738	(3%)	(6%)	(92%)
certified law	Mean 1.13	Mean 1.27	Mean 1.07			
enforcement officer)	Range 1-40	Range 1-95	Range 1-8			
Certified school	Total 956	Total 280	Total 163	67 school	28 schools	1862 schools
security officers (SSOs)	Schools 480	Schools 128	Schools 141	(3%)	(1%)	(95%)
	Mean 1.99	Mean 2.19	Mean 1.16			
	Range 1-40	Range 1-100	Range 1-5			
Contracted private	Total 93	Total 125	Total 13	43 schools	10 schools	1904 schools
security personnel (not	Schools 53	Schools 10	Schools 8	(2%)	(1%)	(97%)
SSOs)	Mean 1.75	Mean 12.5	Mean 1.63			
	Range 1-19	Range 1-100	Range 1-5			

If you had School Resource Officers (SROs)

School Resource Officer (SRO) is defined in § 9.1-101, Code of Virginia, as "...a certified law enforcement officer hired by the local law enforcement agency to provide law enforcement and security services to Virginia public elementary and secondary schools." § 22.1-280.2:3. School boards; local law-enforcement agencies; memorandums of understanding. The school board in each school division in which the local law-enforcement agency employs school resource officers, as defined in § 9.1-101, shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with such local law-enforcement agency that sets forth the powers and duties of such school resource officers. The provisions of such memorandum of understanding shall be based on the model memorandum of understanding developed by the Virginia Center for School and Campus Safety pursuant to subdivision A 11 of § 9.1-184, which may be modified by the parties in accordance with their particular needs.

§ 9.1-184, which may be modified by the parties in accordance with their particular needs.					
5a. How familiar are you (the principal) with the roles and expectations set out in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between your school division and the local law enforcement agency for the placement of SROs in your school?	N=1,021 Not at all familiar 16, 2% Slightly familiar 53, 5% Somewhat familiar 144, 14% Moderately familiar 433, 42% Extremely familiar 375, 37%				
5b. For the most recently assigned SRO at your school, was the principal or assistant principal consulted in some way as part of the selection process?	N= 1,021				
5c. Please rate each of the following areas related to your school/law enforcement partnership. N=1,021					
 Communication from SRO(s) to administrators very good 723, 71% good 201, 20% 					

oc. Please rate each of the following areas related to yo	our school/law emorcement partnership. N-1,021
Communication from SRO(s) to administrators	 very good 723, 71% good 201, 20% acceptable 83, 8% poor 13, 1% very poor 1, 0.1%
 Communication from administrators to SRO(s) 	 very good 755, 74% good 211, 21% acceptable 50, 5% poor 4, 0.4% very poor 1, 0.1%
 Role distinction (mutual understanding of appropriate role and duties of SRO(s)) 	 very good 687, 67% good 241, 24% acceptable 77, 8% poor 15, 1% very poor 1, 0.1%
 Distinction between school rules and laws (mutual understanding about which infractions the SRO should and should not handle) 	 very good 722, 71% good 225, 22% acceptable 63, 6% poor 9, 1% very poor 2, 0.2%
5d. What training do you feel your SRO(s) would most benefit from?	select all that apply N=1,021 O Working with students' mental health needs 534, 52% O Student behavioral dynamics 423, 41%

Working with students with disabilities 371, 36%

Cultural diversity and implicit bias 243, 24%

Mediation, conflict resolution, and de-escalation 272, 27%

	 Security awareness in the school environment 175, 17% Disaster and emergency response 149, 15% School and personal liability issues 134, 13% Substance use disorders 65, 6% State and federal laws 49, 5% Other (describe) 70, 7% (Relationship building, DARE, CPS, Mandt, Mental Health First Aide, MOU, Social-emotional needs, Interacting with elementary age students, none)
5e. Have you, or another administrator from your building, attended a DCJS SRO and Administrator Basic Course?	select all that apply N=1,021 Yes, I have attended 256, 25% Yes, another administrator has attended 132, 13% Someone from this building has attended a locally sponsored training not conducted by DCJS 120, 12% No, no one from this building has attended an SRO Basic course of any kind 566, 55%
5f. What are the benefits to having a school resource officer (SRO) in your building?	Select all that apply N=1,021 Added sense of security for parents/community 977, 96% Added sense of security for staff and students 959, 94% Positive physical presence of law enforcement 913, 89% Building positive relationships with law enforcement officer(s) 905, 89% Provide additional layer of school safety and connection with emergency services 868, 85% Improved collaboration with law enforcement, emergency responders, parents, and the community 850, 83% Access to community information that may impact the school day 820, 80% Visibility/presence as crime deterrent 817, 80% Trained first responder during a crisis 784, 77% Have someone trained to deal with school-aged students as opposed to a patrol officer 631, 62% Resources for legal knowledge 608, 60% Conflict de-escalation and mediation 522, 51% Dedicated person to understand school-related laws and regulations 507, 50% Education of students 478, 47% Attendance/truancy 199, 19% Other (describe) 33, 3% (DARE program, Wellness checks at student homes, Traffic control, Police Athletic League contact, camera system operations, only present on an as-needed basis)
5g. To your knowledge, how many incidents of criminal activity occurring at school or a school-sponsored event resulted in an arrest of a student? N=1,021	numerical answers only Total 537 Schools 178 Mean 3.02 Range 1-24

If you had SSOs

School Security Officer (SSO) is defined in § 9.1-101, Code of Virginia, as "... an individual who is employed by the local school board or a private or religious school for the singular purpose of maintaining order and discipline, preventing crime, investigating violations of the policies of the school board or the private or religious school, and detaining students violating the law or the policies of the school board or the private or religious school on school property, school buses, or at school-sponsored events and who is responsible solely for ensuring the safety, security, and welfare of all students, faculty, staff, and visitors in the assigned school.

5h. What are the benefits to having a school security officer in your building?

select all that apply

N=561

- Added sense of security for staff and students
 531, 95%
- Additional security around the building and grounds 516, 92%
- Additional adult for positive relationship building with students/student mentoring 496, 88%
- Additional student supervision 428, 76%
- Assist with daily routines and procedures 419, 75%
- Visitor monitoring and management 412,73%
- Escort of students for discipline reasons 405,
 72%
- Additional staff support 402, 72%
- o Camera system monitoring 401, 71%
- Crisis plan management/planning and conducting drills 391, 70%

- Bus lane coverage/traffic/parking assistance 387, 69%
- Conflict de-escalation and conflict resolution
 384, 68%
- Assist with enforcement of student code of conduct/safety policies 367, 65%
- Positive behavior supports or recognition 341, 61%
- o Assist with lunch duty 325, 58%
- o Physical intervention 302, 54%
- Student searches 295, 53%
- Assist with discipline interviews 235, 42%
- Assist with enforcement of student code of conduct/safety 1, 0%
- o Other (describe) 16, 3% (Overseeing arrival and dismissal, coaching and community partnerships, additional security for afterschool activities)

III. EMERGENCY PLANNING, DRILLS, AND RESPONSE

School Crisis/Emergency Management/Medical Response Plan

The *Code of Virginia* § 22.1-279.8 states that "each school board shall ensure that every school that it supervises shall develop a written school crisis, emergency management, and medical response plan."

6. Does your school's crisis management plan contain written procedures for the following situations?

select all that apply

N=1,982

- Lockdown 1,931, 97%
- Evacuation 1,899, 96%
- Active threat 1,887, 95%
- Weather-related incidents 1,808, 91%
- Bomb threat 1,803, 91%
- Shelter-in-place 1,758, 89%
- Off-site reunification 1,697, 86%
- Medical emergencies 1,662, 84%
- On-site reunification 1,562, 79%
- Chemical spill/gas leak (hazmat) 1,473, 74%
- Individual emergency/safety plans for individuals with disabilities 1,329, 67%

- Death of a student or staff member 1,190, 60%
- Building/facility recovery procedures 1,188, 60%
- o Suicide 1,023, 52%
- Loss/kidnapping of a student 997, 50%
- o Pandemic 873, 44%
- Mental health recovery procedures 817, 41%
 - Reverse evacuation 795, 40%

 Did you have to activate any portion of your school's crisis management plan during the 2021–2022 school year due to an actual critical event or emergency? (not asked of Virtual Schools) N=1,957

- o Yes 817, 42%
- o No 1140, 58%

If you **DID** activate your crisis plan

7a. Please select all events that occurred causing the activation of your school's crisis management plan during the 2021–2022 school year:

N=817

Health-related incidents and emergencies:

- Medical emergency on school property 368, 45%
- o Influenza/pandemic 223, 27%
- Death or serious injury of staff or student 115, 14%
- Other health-related incident on or near school property 67, 8%
- Exposure to hazardous materials on or near school property 23, 3%

Weather or building/power-related incidents and emergencies:

- Tornado/hurricane 272, 33%
- o Other natural disaster or severe weather 82, 10%
- Other building-related damage or power outage related emergency(ies) 79, 10%
- o Smoke or fire/explosion 55, 7%
- Flood 6, 1%
- o Earthquake 1, 0%
- Roof or building collapse 1, 0%

Man-made incidents and emergencies:

- Other man-made incident on or near school property 119, 15%
- Weapon on school property 97, 12%
- Intruder/trespasser/unauthorized person(s) on school property 89, 11%
- Active threat 61, 7%
- Demonstration/protest on or near school property 45, 6%
- Loss, disappearance, or kidnapping of a student on school property 32, 4%
- Bomb threat 31, 4%

Other

- Unfounded incident/faulty or false alarm 129, 16%
- Bus/vehicle crash 86, 11%
- Incident at another school that affected your school 74,
 9%
- Other safety-related incident that affected school and is not listed above 70, 9%

	ALL (not asked of Virtual Schools)	
announced to the school of Lockdown is defined by RE action to secure school but violence in or around the school but a sc	my unannounced lockdown drills (no specific date and time of drill ommunity)? MS (Readiness and Emergency Management for Schools) as a course of ildings and grounds during incidents that pose an immediate threat of school. The primary objective of a lockdown is to quickly ensure all school is are secured in the rooms away from immediate danger.	N=1,957 ○ Yes 959, 49% ○ No 998, 51%
	If you DID conduct unannounced lockdown drills	
	kdown drills were implemented, were they identified as a drill? are now conducting a lockdown drill.")	N=959 o Yes 789, 82% o No 170, 18%
	ALL (not asked of Virtual Schools))	
Did your school provide ac evacuation, etc.)?	commodations for students/staff with disabilities during all drills (lockdown,	N=1,957 • Yes 1,863, 95% • No 94, 5%
	If you DID provide accommodations during drills	
9a. What types of accommodations were made?	select all that apply N=1,863 Dedicated staff/personal assistance provided by an assigned individual 1,55 Prior knowledge of drill to allow for preparation 1,591, 85% Sensory supports (headphones, fidgets, etc.) 1,106, 59% Specialized location or route 750, 40% One-on-one training/preparation for students with disabilities/504 accomm Social stories/social learning tools 542, 29% Additional drills/trainings/lessons 403, 22% Signs or cue cards to assist with communication 350, 19% Specialized equipment (stair lifts etc.) 223, 12% Other (describe) 18, 1% (Use of two-way communication, Use of crisis plan, not an entire school lockdown, dedicated bus transportation remains on care	modations 699, 38% , room-by-room basis-
	ALL (not asked of Virtual Schools)	
that students and teachers down drill after the first 60 least 24 hours' notice before construed to require such no B. Pre-kindergarten and kinder days of the school session. I	shall be a lock-down drill at least once during the first 20 school days of each so may be thoroughly practiced in such drills. Every public school shall hold at least days of the school session. Every public school shall provide the parents of enroise the school conducts any lock-down drill, provided, however, that nothing in the otice to include the exact date and time of the lock-down drill. garten students shall be exempt from mandatory participation in lock-down discosal school boards shall develop policies to implement such exemption. Notwing subsection, each pre-kindergarten and kindergarten student shall participate in the school session.	st one additional lock- olled students with at his section shall be rills during the first 60 thstanding the
	equired lockdown drills, did your school conduct any additional exercises other first responders in 2021–2022?	N=1,957 • Yes 522, 27%

conducted any **additional** drills to what is required by state law.

This question is NOT asking if you conducted the 2 lockdown drills referred to above, but if you

o No 1,435, 73%

If you **DID** conduct additional exercises

10a. What types of additional exercises were they?

select all that apply

N=522

- Fire drill/evacuation 359, 69%
- Additional lockdown drills 299, 57%
- Weather-related drill (earthquake, tornado, hurricane, etc.) 297, 57%
- Active shooter/threat training/scenarios 100, 19%
- Tabletop simulation 91, 17%
- o Intruder drill training 75, 14%
- o Lecture 34, 7%
- o Full-scale drill 11, 2%
- Other (describe) 24, 5% (K9 Sweeps, Bomb threat, Medical emergency, RAPTOR training, Shelter-in-Place, Reverse evacuation)

ALL (not asked of Virtual Schools)

11. Could school administrators communicate directly with law enforcement/first responders via radio when inside the school building during an emergency or critical incident, if necessary? select all that apply

N=1,957

- Yes, we can directly communicate with outside first responders via radio or an emergency alert system with two-way communication capabilities. 1,066, 54%
- We communicate with the SRO via school radio and the SRO communicates directly with first responders. 720, 37%
- No, we have no way to communicate directly with first responders via radio.
 334, 17%

If you were **UNABLE** to communicate with law enforcement

11a. Why not? What prevents this communication?

select all that apply

N=334

- o Different radio systems/frequencies, not compatible 248, 74%
- Do not have radio/do not have working radio 50, 15%
- Limitations of radio due to distance or infrastructure 48, 14%
- Division policy prohibits it 7, 2%
- Other 17, 5% (No SRO on site, Unknown, Division working on it)
- o None of the above 17, 5%

ALL (not asked of Virtual Schools)

12. Review the following list of security strategies and select those that were in place at your school during the 2021–2022 school year.

select all that apply

N=1,957

- o Visitors were required to sign in. 1,929, 99%
- All exterior entrances to the school building or campus were locked during school hours. 1,907, 97%
- Main entrance of the school building or campus was secured by a controlled electronic access system (buzzer system) during school hours. 1,900, 97%
- Visitors were required to wear some form of an ID badge while on school grounds. 1,784,
 91%
- Classroom windows, including door windows, could be covered to eliminate visibility into classroom. 1,726, 88%
- o Staff were required to wear an ID badge while on school grounds. 1,682, 86%
- Staff received training on how to initiate a lockdown. 1,635, 84%
- Classrooms could be locked from outside the classroom. 1,628, 83%
- All classrooms had designated safe spaces/hard corners and students/staff were made aware of how they are to be used. 1,613, 82%

- School had crisis kits prepared, including medical and emergency plan-specific items.
 1,610, 82%
- School had a checklist available to assist in obtaining pertinent information during a threatening call/communication (e.g., bomb threat). 1,438, 73%
- o Classrooms could be locked from inside the classroom. 1,079, 55%
- Staff were trained to barricade rooms that cannot be locked from inside. 1,005, 51%
- Staff and students were trained in "run, hide, fight," "avoid, deny, defend," or some other recognized response program. 896, 46%
- There were panic buttons or silent alarms accessible to all staff. 488, 25%
- o Students were required to wear an ID badge while on school grounds. 98, 5%
- o Individuals were required to pass through metal detecting equipment upon entry to the building. 93, 5%
- Other (describe) 30, 2% (Electronic visitor ID check, Panic buttons in main office, Electronic App connected to first responders, Door lock safety magnets, GoKits, Visitors not allowed due to pandemic, all visitors escorted by staff)
- o None of the above 0, 0%

IV. TRAINING AND THREAT ASSESSMENT TEAMS

N=1,982		Staff	Students	Parents
Bullying prevention and intervention		1,424 schools	1,548 schools	376 schools
Classroom management		1,779 schools	541 schools	125 schools
Crisis response and mitigation		1,737 schools	791 schools	158 schools
Discipline (Student Behavior and Administrative Response (SBAR))	e	1,402 schools	492 schools	240 schools
Handle With Care: Law Enforcement–School Trauma Inforcementication System	rmed	560 schools	55 schools	29 schools
Mentoring		1,335 schools	792 schools	147 schools
Peer-mediation/conflict resolution		984 schools	1,097 schools	136 schools
Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS)		1,473 schools	1,248 schools	589 schools
Restorative justice practices		960 schools	536 schools	161 schools
Roles and responsibilities of SRO(s)		898 schools	316 schools	173 schools
Safety procedures		1,885 schools	1,637 schools	744 schools
Social and emotional learning (SEL)		1,682 schools	1,417 schools	543 schools
Substance abuse		609 schools	655 schools	188 schools
Violence prevention training		486 schools	244 schools	55 schools
(Trauma Training, Stop the Bleed/Active Shooter, Signs of Suicide, Safety Care Restraint Management, Responsive Classroom, DARE, and Gang Prevention)				
 Does your school participate in the "Handle With Care Law Enforcement–School Trauma Informed Communication System?" 	o 1	'es 365, 18% No, I am not aware	of this program 1,0	
	9	No, the division doe No, 0.5% No, not at this time		нава пос со ранистрас
If you were NOT AWARE	o N), 0.5% No, not at this time	595, 30%	
If you were NOT AWARE 14a. Would you like a member of the DCJS team to contact you with information about the Handle with Care Law Enforcement–School Trauma Informed Communication System?	S O N E of th N=1,02 ○ Y	9, 0.5% No, not at this time e Handle wit	595, 30%	
14a. Would you like a member of the DCJS team to contact you with information about the Handle with Care Law Enforcement–School Trauma Informed	S O N E of th N=1,02 ○ Y	o, 0.5% No, not at this time No Handle wit 13 Yes 386, 38%	595, 30%	
14a. Would you like a member of the DCJS team to contact you with information about the Handle with Care Law Enforcement–School Trauma Informed	S S S S S S S S S S	9, 0.5% No, not at this time Le Handle wit 13 Yes 386, 38% No 627, 62%	595, 30%	
14a. Would you like a member of the DCJS team to contact you with information about the Handle with Care Law Enforcement—School Trauma Informed Communication System? 5. Do you feel your school is able to provide sufficient	S S S S S S S S S S	9, 0.5% No, not at this time 18	h Care progran	n

- o Limited funding 105, 14%
- Lack of accurate knowledge around mental health issues 98, 13%
- o Competing priorities 65, 9%
- Lack of community support 18, 2%
- Written or unwritten policies 2, 0.3%
- Other 9, 1%

ALL

16. Please select the 5 most needed types of school safety training by your school's administration/ faculty/staff?

N=1,982

- Mental health problem awareness and recognition 1197, 60%
- De-escalation and mediation 1142, 58%
- Social/emotional interventions and supports for students 1010, 51%
- o Trauma-informed classrooms 919, 46%
- Social/emotional interventions and supports for staff 875, 44%
- Social media (Facebook, Snapchat, TikTok, Twitter, YouTube, etc.) 770, 39%
- Crisis planning, prevention, mitigation and response (to include school safety drills, bomb threat response, crisis response options, crisis intervention and recovery all hazards) 685, 35%
- o Trauma-informed care 636, 32%
- Understanding poverty's effect on learning 593, 30%
- Peer relations (dating violence, bullying, bystander intervention, conflict mediation, sexual harassment, etc.) 539, 27%
- Threat assessment team training 442, 22%
- Violence prevention training (including fighting, armed intruder, active shooter, other school violence) 396, 20%
- Suicide prevention, intervention and postvention 309, 16%
- Substance abuse/misuse and vaping 305, 15%
- o Role of safety and security personnel (SROs and/or SSOs) 112, 6%
- o None of the above 15, 1%
- Other (describe) 11, 1% (Human Trafficking, Effects of Screen Time on Learning, and Cyber Safety)

Since 2013, and in accordance with § 22.1-79.4, threat assessment teams are legislatively mandated in Virginia for all public schools for grades K-12. Each division superintendent shall establish, for each school, a threat assessment team that shall include persons with expertise in counseling, instruction, school administration, and law enforcement. Threat assessment teams may be established to serve one or more schools as determined by the division superintendent. It is also mandated that each team:

- Provide guidance to students, faculty, and staff regarding recognition of threatening or aberrant behavior that may represent a threat to the community, school, or self.
- Identify members of the school community to whom threatening behavior should be reported; and
- Implement school board policies for the assessment of and intervention with individuals whose behavior poses a threat to the safety of school staff or students.

To assist schools in explaining threat assessment and reporting to students, parents, and staff, VCSCS launched **Virginia C.A.R.E.S.** Virginia C.A.R.E.S. is a multi-pronged approach to engagement and awareness in schools that includes videos and resources on threat assessment. The campaign works to build **C**aring, **A**wareness, **R**ecognition, **E**ngagement, and **S**upport in Virginia schools. The Virginia C.A.R.E.S. framework recognizes that threat assessment and management is one part of a larger and on-going approach to supporting and enhancing school and campus safety. The health, safety, and well-being of students and staff in schools is sustained and enhanced through a comprehensive approach.

In addition to requiring the establishment of threat assessment teams, the Code of Virginia § 22.1-79.4 also instructs that "Each threat assessment team established pursuant to this section shall report quantitative data on its activities according to guidance developed by the Department of Criminal Justice Services."

The questions in this section should be answered in consultation with a knowledgeable member of your threat assessment team.

Threat Assessment Team

The Code of Virginia § 22.1-79.4 section C states, "Each division superintendent shall establish, for each school, a threat assessment team that shall include persons with expertise in counseling, instruction, school administration, and law enforcement. Threat assessment teams may be established to serve one or more schools as determined by the division superintendent."

17. Tell us about your threat assessment team.

N=1,982

- Our school/program has its own threat assessment team 1,804 91%
- All threats go to a division-wide threat assessment team 131, 7%
- Threats at our school/program go to the student's home/base school team (tech centers, partial day programs, alternative centers, etc.) 29, 1%
- Threats go to a collaborative school-level threat assessment team serving multiple schools 18, 1%

If you **HAVE** your own school-based Threat Assessment Team

17a. Tell us about your primary/core threat assessment team members in 2021–2022. Whole numbers only please; if they are a part-time employee they should be counted as 1 individual.

N=1,804	Number of primary/core members (attend all or most meetings)	Number having completed threat assessment training in the last 3 years
Administration	Total 3,806	Total 3,153
	Schools 1,789	Schools 1,485
	Mean 2.13	Mean 2.12
	Range 1-9	Range 1-9
Community service board member	Total 93	Total 56
	Schools 82	Schools 48
	Mean 1.13	Mean 1.37
	Range 1-4	Range 1-4
Division representative	Total 471	Total 398
	Schools 398	Schools 326
	Mean 1.83	Mean 1.22
	Range 1-5	Range 1-5
Instruction	Total 1,469	Total 1,303
	Schools 818	Schools 451
	Mean 1.80	Mean 2.89
	Range 1-9	Range 1-84
Other law enforcement representative	Total 162	Total 129
	Schools 154	Schools 123
	Mean 1.05	Mean 1.05
	Range 1-2	Range 1-2
Psychologist/social worker	Total 1,898	Total 1,651
	Schools 1,341	Schools 1,125
	Mean 1.42	Mean 1.47
	Range 1-6	Range 1-6
School counseling	Total 3,097	Total 2,517
•	Schools 1,737	Schools 1,368
	Mean 1.78	Mean 1.84
	Range 1-16	Range 1-16
School nurse	Total 974	Total 598
	Schools 952	Schools 575
	Mean 1.02	Mean 1.04
	Range 1-2	Range 1-3

	T			
School Resource Officer (SRO)	Total 1,121		Total 912	
	Schools 1,104		Schools 890	
	Mean 1.02		Mean 1.02	
	Range 1-2		Range 1-5	
School Security Officer (SSO)	Total 516		Total 433	
	Schools 373		Schools 313	
	Mean 1.38		Mean 1.38	
	Range 1-8		Range 1-7	
Other (describe)	Total 188		Total 115	
(Translator, Instructional Assistants,	Schools 128		Schools 76	
Student Support Coach, Parent, Office Staff,	t Support Coach, Parent, Office Staff, Mean 1.47 Mean 1.51			
Custodian, and Behavior Specialist)	Range 1-7		Range 1-12	
17b. Approximately how many threat asses Please answer with a number for each	_		22 for the following tas	ks?
To triage threats received (at least 2)	members)			Total 11,617
	,			Schools 1,225
				Mean 9.48
				Range 1-259
To conduct a full threat assessment	ior to possible event)	Total 10,138 Schools 1,112 Mean 9.12 Range1-259		
For debrief when event occurred with	thout precipitating	information (no opp	portunity to conduct	Total 2,831
threat assessment prior to event)			Schools 666	
	Mean 4.25			
				Range 1-47
 For administrative reasons: organiza 	tion, process discu	ssion, training, or pr	actice	Total 3,270
				Schools 999
				Mean 3.27
				Range 1-66
17c. What types of threat assessment train	ning has select	all that apply		
your team completed?	0	Training by division	staff 1283, 65%	
·	0		eo/module 523, 26%	
	0	DCJS in-person train	ning 430, 22%	
	0	DCJS training webir	nar 313, 16%	
	0	None 110, 6%		
	0		sment Model training (d	
		-	rovided training, School	staff provided training,
		C-STAG, and A.L.I.C.	.E. training)	
If Threats go	to a COLLAB (PRATIVE SCHO	OL TEAM <i>N=18</i>	
17d. Please list the schools with which you collaborate. 18 schools were listed, one time each				
17e. Is there one school that is in charge or does the team one around to the school with the current threat? One school in charge 4, 22% one Moved based on the current threat?			_	at 14, 78%
17f. What does the team composition look like? (Are there members on the team from all schools? Who makes up the team?) Members from both schools, Administration, Counselors, SRO, School Nurse, School Psychologist, SSO, Teacher and Staff in general				
the team?)	, Time manes ap		ooi Psychologist, 550, Te	acher and Stajj in

17h. How effective is the collaborative team?	N=18
17i. How can DCJS assist in improving the effectiveness of the team?	In-person training with scenarios, more staff, specific forms to be used by all for consistency in terms and procedure.

ALL

18. In 2021–2022, how did your school make the following groups aware of how to recognize and report threatening or aberrant behavior? *select all that apply*

	Students N=1,982	Staff <i>N=1,982</i>	Parents/Guardians N=1,982
Back-to-School Night	347, 18%	318, 16%	549, 28%
By classroom or small group	1,375, 69%	819, 41%	104, 5%
Email/text	314, 16%	735, 37%	558, 28%
Faculty/staff meeting	178, 9%	1,759, 89%	48, 2%
Handbook/Code of Conduct	1,376, 69%	1,525, 77%	1,283, 65%
In-service/professional development	113, 6%	1,224, 62%	27, 1%
Instructional video	161, 8%	497, 25%	42, 2%
Other written format (brochure/letter)	189, 10%	251, 13%	308, 16%
School assembly	573, 29%	353, 18%	36, 2%
Via counseling services	975, 49%	463, 23%	310, 16%
Website/social media	465, 23%	470, 24%	526, 27%
With individuals as needed	1,269, 64%	1,174, 59%	978, 49%
Other (describe) (Morning announcements, and Community meetings)	26, 1%	24, 1%	29, 1%
Did not inform	18, 1%	10, 1%	30, 2%

Threat Reporting Procedures

19. Is your threat assessment team, along with your school counselor or school psychologist as part of	N=1,822
the team, involved with the assessment and action plans in threats of suicide/self-harm?	o Yes 1,682, 92%
*Only asked of schools with their own threat assessment team or a collaborative school-level team	o No 140, 8%

If threats of suicide/self-	-harm were NOT repo	orted to the threat assessment team
19a. Since your threat assessment team is not typically involved with threats of suicide/self-harm, who handles these threats?		select all that apply N=140 School counselor 127, 91% Administrators 61, 44% School psychologist/social worker 58, 41% School nurse 31, 22% Other counseling services 25, 18% Other (describe) 17, 12% (SRO, Division personnel, Student Support Specialist, Social Worker, and Life Counselor)
	ALL	
20. To whom has your school provided suicide awareness training?		Select all that apply N=1,982 Staff 1,385, 70% None of the above 557, 28% Students 486, 25% Parents/guardians 241, 12%
21. Does your school utilize a standard suicide prevention screening tool?		N=1,982 • Yes 1,565, 79% • No 417, 21%
22. Are threats of suicide reported to outside health care personnel?		N=1,982 • Yes 1,285, 65% • No 697, 35%
23. Has your staff received trauma-informed care/classroom training?		N=1,982 • Yes 1,237, 62% • No 745, 38%
24. Does your school have a method for threatening/aberrant behavior to be anonymously reported?		(Note: in-person reporting is not considered anonymous/confidential.) N=1,982 • Yes 1,360, 69% • No 622, 31%
If you HA	VE a method for ano	nymous reporting
24a. What kind of anonymous reporting methods were available at your school for reporting threats/aberrant behavior? Note: In-person reporting is not considered anonymous.	select all that apply N=1,360 Web-based tip line or phone app (provided by division) 868, 64% Written (e.g., note, comment box) 595, 44% Phone-based hotline 448, 33% Web-based tip line or phone app (school-based) 215, 16% None 31, 2%	

ALL

25. How did your school monitor **student social media usage** (i.e., Facebook, Twitter, TikTok, etc.) to detect and mitigate potential threats and other safety issues?

select all that apply

N=1,982

- Did not have a specific monitoring process; we addressed as needed 1335, 67%
- Someone at the division level was responsible for monitoring (i.e., it was assigned as a job-related task) 417, 21%
- Local law enforcement agency monitored and shared appropriate information as needed 299, 15%
- Someone at the school level was responsible for monitoring (i.e., it was assigned as a job-related task) 187, 9%
- Contracted with a third party that scanned/monitored student social media 141,
 7%

If you CONTRACTED WITH A THIRD PARTY to monitor student social media usage

25a. What is the name of the third party with whom you contracted?

Aerostotle, Gaggle, GoGuardian, Securely, Social Sentinel, and Sandy Hook Promise: Say Something

25b. Tell us about your experience with this system. (Was it effective and useful? Did the contract yield good information that was helpful in averting violence and other disruptive situations?)

The majority of responses were a consensus of the effectiveness of the system, the responses allude to the systems only monitoring email and other processes on school issued devices but do not monitor Social Media.

ALL

26. Where were the primary threat assessment records (such as *Threat Assessment and Response Reports*) stored during 2021–2022?

Select all that apply

N=1,982

- With school administrator's file/threat assessment team 1200, 61%
- With counseling records 708, 36%
- At the division office (central office) 567, 29%
- Secure digital database 342, 17%
- With law enforcement unit records (as allowed by Family Education Rights and Privacy Act) 63, 3%
- With special education case manager 61, 3%
- With counseling records 2 14, 1%
- Not applicable (no cases in 2021–2022) 122, 6%
- Other (describe) 98, 5% (Student Cumulative Record, In the Vault in a separate file from student records, and Health/Nurse files)
- 27. Select the top 3 kinds of training or technical assistance that DCJS could provide, to help improve your threat assessment (TA) process?

N=1,982

- Mental health training (recognition and understanding)
 to include trauma responses for staff 808, 41%
- Training for new staff 687, 35%
- Case studies, scenario training (social media, harm to self, harm to others) 604, 30%
- Social media monitoring and intervention 584, 29%
- Recognition of threats, threat types, and behavioral red flags 574, 29%
- Level of threat training, when to conduct a TA (how to respond to various threat levels; when a low-level threat requires a TA) 541, 27%
- Mental health training for students 510, 26%
- Refresher training and review 473, 24%
- Suicide prevention, ideation, threat assessment for suicide threat 400, 20%

- Specific threat assessment-related topics 337, 17%
- Case management and record keeping 171, 9%
- Use of threat assessment findings 149, 8%
- Online training in threat assessment 148, 7%
- o Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) 122, 6%
- Violence prevention/reaction and gang awareness 82, 4%
- Virtual threat assessments 77, 4%
- o Regional training with other divisions 46, 2%
- None 24, 1%
- Other (describe) 9, 0% (What to do when a student has repeated TAs, How to conduct TAs with elementary students, and Online preventions and supports)

28. What were the three biggest challenges to your threat assessment teams or in conducting threat assessments?

N=1,982

- o Competing priorities/time 775, 39%
- Determining level of threat (when does an act become a threat, how to determine a threat's appropriate level, what constitutes a threat) 684, 35%
- Team coordination (managing team member schedules, availability to meet in a timely manner) 570, 29%
- Length of documentation 533, 27%
- o Training for new staff and for team members 485, 24%
- Understanding the function of threat assessments vs. discipline 419, 21%
- Conducting thorough TA/review/debrief in a timely manner 383, 19%

- Managing threat cases over time 320, 16%
- Limited staff and staff turnover/retention 294, 15%
- Threat assessment training resources 265, 13%
- o Conducting reviews and updates 247, 12%
- Loss of instructional time 188, 9%
- o Consistency in division-wide practices 181, 9%
- Privacy issues (FERPA, outside team members maintaining student confidentiality requirements) 32, 2%
- o None 121, 6%
- Other (describe) 45, 2% (Not enough staff to support, Transferring students, Parent follow-through, and Follow up resources/interventions)

V. THREAT ASSESSMENT CASE REPORTING

Threat Assessments Conducted in 2021–2022

For the next series of questions, we want to know about the threat assessment(s) conducted by your school's threat assessment team, and not included in any other school/programs threat records.

- 29. Based on the threat assessment cases conducted at your school in 2021–2022, how many cases involved threats made by persons from each of the following groups?
 - Report the number of cases regardless of their risk classification
 - Use the following definitions:
 - Threatened others only: threatened harm, posed harm to, or was perceived as posing harm to someone other than self BUT DID NOT threaten suicide or self-harm
 - Threatened other(s) and self: threatened harm, posed harm to, or was perceived as posing harm to someone other than self AND threatened suicide or self-harm, or was perceived as suicidal or posing harm to self
 - **Threatened self only:** threatened suicide or self-harm, or was perceived as suicidal or posing harm to self BUT DID NOT threaten others nor were they perceived as a threat to others

Enter the number of threat assessments conducted that involved persons from each of the listed groups and the type of threat that was made. If there were none, enter 0.

- If no threat assessment cases involved persons from a listed group or threats of a certain type, enter 0 for number of threat assessment cases conducted.
- SUM your responses by type of group (add each row's entries and provide sum) and SUM your responses by type of threat (add each column's entries and provide sum).

Type of Group	Threatened other(s) only	Threatened self only	Threatened both self and other(s)	SUM
a. Student from your school	Total 8,555	Total 11,096	Total 1,022	Total 20,673
	Schools 1,334	Schools 1,162	Schools 377	Schools 1,663
	Mean 6.41	Mean 9.55	Mean 2.71	Mean 12.43
	Range 1-123	Range 1-190	Range 1-39	Range 1-262
b. Student not from your school	Total 72	Total 22	Total 3	Total 97
	Schools 53	Schools 6	Schools 3	Schools 58
	Mean 1.36	Mean 3.67	Mean 1	Mean 1.67
	Range 1-5	Range 1-15	Range 1	Range 1-15
c. Student formerly from your school	Total 22	Total 6	Total 3	Total 31
	Schools 22	Schools 6	Schools 2	Schools 30
	Mean 1	Mean 1	Mean 1.5	Mean 1.03
	Range 1	Range 1	Range 1-2	Range 1-2
d. Faculty/staff currently employed by your school	Total 68	Total 14	Total 1	Total 83
	Schools 41	Schools 13	Schools 1	Schools 55
	Mean 1.66	Mean 1.08	Mean 1	Mean 1.51
	Range 1-7	Range 1-2	Range 1	Range 1-7
e. Faculty/staff formerly employed by your school	Total 6	Total 0	Total 0	Total 6
	Schools 6	Schools 0	Schools 0	Schools 6
	Mean 1	Mean 0	Mean 0	Mean 1
	Range 1	Range 0	Range 0	Range 1
f. Parent/guardian of a student	Total 93	Total 8	Total 5	Total 106
	Schools 63	Schools 5	Schools 3	Schools 68
	Mean 1.48	Mean 1.6	Mean 1.67	Mean 1.56
	Range 1-5	Range 1-3	Range 1-3	Range 1-5

g. Someone else	Total 36 Schools 24 Mean 1.5 Range 1-5	Total 29 Schools 5 Mean 2.71 Range 1-39	Total 2 Schools 2 Mean 1 Range 1	Total 67 Schools 27 Mean 2.48 Range 1-19
ENTER TOTAL (SUM of items a–g)	Total 8,852 Schools 1,360 Mean 6.51 Range 1-123	Total 11,175 Schools 1,169 Mean 9.56 Range 1-193	Total 1,036 Schools 382 Mean 2.71 Range 1-39	Total 21,063 Schools 1,675 Mean 12.57 Range 1-265
If yo	ou conducted A	ANY threat asse	ssments this year	
30. How many individual student (This may or may not equal th case this would count as 2 stu only count once) Numeric responses only. Inclu	ne number of threats udents; if a student w	; if there were two stu	idents involved in one th	
31. How many of the students the one threat assessment case? Numeric responses only. Inclu	•	hreat assessment case	es were involved in more	than
If you h	nad threat asse	ssment cases th	nat involved "som	eone else"
32. In question 29 (where you det you indicated that your school "someone else" (not a studer relationship of this person or	ol had a threat asses nt, parent, or faculty	sment case(s) that inv). Please describe the		er, Former friend or boyfriend of nity member, Stranger driving d Unknown
33. Of the threat assessment(s) co				
Conducted immediately after received by TAT			Total 15,251 Schools 1,320 Mean 11.55 Range 1-265	
Conducted within 24 hours of receipt by TAT			Total 4,803 Schools 742 Mean 6.47 Range 1-126	
Conducted after 24 hours or more of receipt by TAT			Total 439 Schools 148 Mean 2.97 Range 1-24	
Conducted post-incident as there was no prior knowledge of threat			Total 570 Schools 158 Mean 3.61 Range 1-89	

If you conducted **ANY** threat assessments this year

Priority Level/Classification System

Priority 1 (Critical/Imminent): Subject poses an imminent threat of serious violence or harm to self or others and has or may reasonably have significant impact on others. Requires immediate law enforcement and school administration notification, subject containment, target protection and safety planning, implementation of crisis response and notification protocols, ongoing assessment and management plan, and active monitoring.

Priority 2 (High): Subject poses, or is rapidly developing capability for, a threat of serious violence or harm to self or others or is in urgent need of hospitalization or treatment. Targets/others are impacted. Typically involves environmental/systemic factors and consideration for precipitating events. Requires immediate notification of school administration and law enforcement, subject containment, target protection and safety plan, activation of crisis response protocols as appropriate, ongoing assessment and management plan, and active monitoring. Referrals as appropriate.

Priority 3 (Moderate): Subject does not pose a threat of serious violence or harm, though risk cannot be ruled out. Subject may be developing capability for harm and is engaging in aberrant or concerning behaviors that indicate need for assistance/intervention. Targets/others likely concerned and impacted. Environmental/systemic or precipitating factors may be present. Consider law enforcement/security notification as appropriate. Requires ongoing assessment and management plan, and active monitoring. Referrals as appropriate.

Priority 4 (Low): Subject does not indicate a threat of violence or harm to self or others; would or may benefit from intervention or assistance with concerns. Target, environmental/systemic, or precipitating events may be present at low levels. May involve some ongoing assessment management with passive monitoring and/or periodic active monitoring, Referrals as appropriate; close case if no team interventions or monitoring indicated.

Priority 5 (Routine/No Known Concerns): Subject does not indicate a threat of violence or harm to self or others or need for assistance or intervention at this time (or at the time of the assessment). No impact on others, environmental factors, or precipitants that need team intervention. Close case.

34. How many of the threat assessment cases conducted at your school in 2021–2022 were classified at the following levels?

	Number of assessments conducted
Priority 1 (Critical/Imminent): Subject poses an imminent threat of serious violence or harm to self or others	Total 999 Schools 386 Mean 2.59 Range 1–30
Priority 2 (High): Subject poses, or is rapidly developing capability for, a threat of serious violence or harm to self or others	Total 1,931 Schools 619 Mean 3.12 Range 1–52
Priority 3 (Moderate): Subject does not pose a threat of serious violence or harm, though risk cannot be ruled out	Total 3,290 Schools 866 Mean 3.80 Range 1–31
Priority 4 (Low): Subject does not indicate a threat of violence or harm to self or others; would or may benefit from intervention or assistance	Total 8,149 Schools 1,168 Mean 6.98 Range 1–119
Priority 5 (Routine/No Known Concerns): Subject does not indicate a threat of violence or harm to self or others at this time (or at the time of the assessment)	Total 6,694 Schools 761 Mean 8.80 Range 1–170

35. How many of the threat assessment cases conducted resulted in an act of harm to self or others ultimately occurring at each of the following levels?

And

36. How many of these threats did you have prior knowledge of and conducted a threat assessment prior to the event occurring?

	35. Number occurring	36. Number where assessment was conducted prior to act occurring
Priority 1 (Critical/Imminent): Subject poses an imminent threat of serious violence or harm to self or others	Total 277 Schools 129 Mean 2.15 Range 1–26	Total 65 Schools 35 Mean 1.86 Range 1–8
Priority 2 (High): Subject poses, or is rapidly developing capability for, a threat of serious violence or harm to self or others	Total 338 Schools 139 Mean 2.43 Range 1–24	Total 89 Schools 31 Mean 2.87 Range 1–35
Priority 3 (Moderate): Subject does not pose a threat of serious violence or harm, though risk cannot be ruled out	Total 569 Schools 176 Mean 3.23 Range 1–28	Total 126 Schools 54 Mean 2.33 Range 1–19
Priority 4 (Low): Subject does not indicate a threat of violence or harm to self or others; would or may benefit from intervention or assistance	Total 1,425 Schools 244 Mean 5.84 Range 1–85	Total 319 Schools 63 Mean 5.06 Range 1–27
Priority 5 (Routine/No Known Concerns): Subject does not indicate a threat of violence or harm to self or others at this time (or at the time of the assessment)	Total 1,056 Schools 153 Mean 6.90 Range 1–85	Total 327 Schools 35 Mean 9.34 Range 1–

Please provide a brief description of **EACH Priority 1 Case** where an act of harm to self or others occurred **after** a threat assessment was conducted. The following questions will be asked for each case reported in question 34.

Once the data was cleaned there are only data for 55 Priority 1 cases that had an actual event occur after the threat assessment process was conducted.

	•
The type of act(s) that was threatened	select all that apply N=55 Suicide/self-harm 41, 75% General harm to others 8, 15% Fight/assault 5, 9% Bomb/arson 2, 4% Weapon(s) use 2, 4% Homicide 0, 0% Other (describe) 0, 0%
What steps were taken to try to prevent the act?	select all that apply N=55 Parent contact 48, 87% Counseling (by school or outside service) 46, 84% Mental health service recommendation 46, 84% Safety plan 34, 62% De-escalation 26, 47% School Resource Officer contact 24, 44%

The actual act(s) of harm to self or others that took place:	 Community services board contact 16, 29% Transferred to alternative education placement or home-based instruction 13, 24% Monitor social media 5, 9% Other (describe) 4, 7% (School Nurse and Residential Treatment Facility) select all that apply N=55 Attempted Suicide/self-harm: Cutting 20, 36% Attempted Suicide/self-harm: Overdose 11, 20% Attempted Suicide/self-harm: Other 11, 20% Use or intimidation with weapon(s) 7, 13% Fight/assault 4, 7% Attempted Suicide/self-harm: Strangulation 4, 7% Completed Suicide/self-harm: Cutting 0, 0% Completed Suicide/self-harm: Overdose 0, 0% Completed Suicide/self-harm: Other 0, 0% Other (describe) 4, 7% (Starvation, Attempt to drown self, Use of object to obstruct own airway, and Digging in wounds to cause self-bleeding)
Did the actual act take place on school grounds or at a school-sponsored event?	N=55
Was a student from your school the primary initiator of the event?	N=55 • Yes 45, 82% • Yes; however, there was more than one student considered primary 0, 0% • No 10, 18%
Was this student or students recommended to undergo a clinical assessment conducted by a community-based or private licensed mental health professional?	Please answer for each primary student(s) (up to 5) N=55 Yes, recommended and underwent the assessment 40, 89% Yes, recommended but did not undergo the assessment 2, 4% No recommendation was made 3, 7%
After what period of time was the student able to continue attending your school?	Please answer for each primary student (up to 5) N=45 After hospitalization 13, 29% 5 school days 6, 13% Immediately 6, 13% 3 school days 4, 9% 11-45 school days 4, 9% Placed on home-based status for the remainder of the year 4, 9% After alternative school placement (Please note how long) 1, 2% (4 months) After assessment completed and/or plan written 2, 4% Student transferred or unenrolled 2, 4% 10 school days 1, 2% More than 45 school days 0, 0% Not permitted to return 0, 0% Unable to return due to death 0, 0% Other (describe) 2, 4% (Attendance was inconsistent afterwards and Expelled for the remainder of the school year.

Please provide a brief description of **EACH Priority 2 Case** where an act of harm to self or others occurred **after** a threat assessment was conducted. The following questions will be asked for each case reported in question 34.

Once the data was cleaned there are only data for 48 Priority 2 cases that had an actual event occur after the threat assessment process was conducted.

un actual event occur after the time at assessment process was conducted.			
The type of act(s) that was threatened What steps were taken to try to prevent the	select all that apply N=48 Suicide/self-harm 30, 63% Fight/assault 16, 33% General harm to others 12, 25% Weapon(s) use 4, 8% Bomb/arson 2, 4% Homicide 0, 0% Other (describe) 0, 0%		
act?	 N=48 Parent contact 48, 100% Counseling (by school or outside service) 44, 92% Mental health service recommendation 37, 77% Safety plan 35, 73% De-escalation 22, 46% School Resource Officer contact 20, 42% Community services board contact 12, 25% Monitor social media 11, 23% Transferred to alternative education placement or home-based instruction 10, 21% Other (describe) 1, 2% (Hospitalized for assessment) 		
The actual act(s) of harm to self or others that took place:	select all that apply N=48 Attempted Suicide/self-harm: Cutting 19, 40% Fight/assault 18, 38% Attempted Suicide/self-harm: Other 8, 17% Use or intimidation with weapon(s) 8, 17% Attempted Suicide/self-harm: Overdose 2, 4% Homicide 0, 0% Attempted Suicide/Self-harm: Strangulation 0, 0% Completed Suicide/self-harm: Cutting 0, 0% Completed Suicide/self-harm: Overdose 0, 0% Completed Suicide/self-harm: Strangulation 0, 0% Completed Suicide/self-harm: Other 0, 0% Other (describe) 1, 2% (unsafe behaviors)		
Did the actual act take place on school grounds or at a school-sponsored event?	N=48 • Yes 20, 42% • No 28, 58%		
Was a student from your school the primary initiator of the event?	 N=48 Yes 45, 94% Yes; however, there was more than one student considered primary 1, 2% No 2, 4% 		
Was this student or students recommended to undergo a clinical assessment conducted	Please answer for each primary student (up to 5) N=45		

by a community-based or private licensed mental health professional?	 Yes, recommended and underwent the assessment 29, 64% Yes, recommended but did not undergo the assessment 10, 22% No recommendation was made 6, 13%
After what period of time was the student able to continue attending your school?	Please answer for each primary student (up to 5) N=47 Immediately 13, 28% 5 school days 6, 13% After assessment completed and/or plan written 5, 11% 10 school days 3, 6% 11–45 school days 3, 6% Not permitted to return 3, 6% Student transferred or unenrolled 3, 6% After hospitalization 2, 4% After alternative school placement (please note how long) 1, 2% More than 45 school days 1, 2% Placed on home-based status for the remainder of the year 1, 2% Unable to return due to death 0, 0% Other (describe) 1, 2%

VI. SCHOOL SAFETY AUDIT

School Safety Audit

The Code of Virginia §22.1-279.8 requires that all schools in Virginia complete an annual safety audit. The audit is a "written assessment of the safety conditions in each public school to (i) identify and if necessary, develop solutions for physical safety concerns, including building security issues and (ii) identify and evaluate any patterns of student safety concerns occurring on school property or at school sponsored events. Solutions and responses shall include recommendations for structural adjustments, changes in school safety procedures, and revisions to the school board's standards for student conduct."

The School Safety Audit Program consists of five key components. These components are:

School Safety Survey

Division Safety Survey

Crisis Management Plan Certification

Virginia School Survey of Climate and Working Conditions

School Safety Inspection Checklist

The following questions are in regard to and will satisfy portions of the 2022 School Safety Audit.

Effective July 2019, <u>HB1737</u> amended § 22.1-279.8 D to include first responders in the development and review of school crisis management plans. "Each school board shall ensure that every school that it supervises shall develop a written school crisis, emergency management, and medical emergency response plan, consistent with the definition provided in this section, and shall include the chief law-enforcement officer, the fire chief, the chief of the emergency medical services agency, the executive director of the relevant regional emergency medical services council, and the emergency management official of the locality, or their designees, in the development of such plans."

37. Date of most recent Crisis Management Plan update and review (mm/dd/yyyy)	N=1,982
	 September 883, 45%
The Audit runs from September through August of each year; therefore, the responses are	 October 47, 2%
listed in chronological order following the Audit timeline.	 November 28, 1%
	 December 20, 1%
	 January 56, 3%
	 February 35, 2%
	o March 50, 3%
	o April 55, 3%
	o <i>May 96, 5%</i>
	 June 266, 13%
	 July 446, 23%

38. Safety Audit Review: The culmination of the School Safety Audit is a review of the data collected for each component. In the following questions, please provide the top two issues or concerns identified from the review of each component.

Top issues identified **N=1,982**

- Absenteeism/tardiness/skipping 1005, 51%
- o Insufficient camera coverage 833, 42%
- Need mental health training for staff 776, 39%
- Mental health for students 717, 36%
- o Social-emotional learning 701, 35%
- o Behavior management/student aggression 445, 22%
- o Doors are not able to be locked from inside 443, 22% o
- No social media monitoring process 413, 21%
- o Traffic design 352, 18%
- o Disrespectful behavior 350, 18%
- Doors need repair or upgrades 332, 17%
- Classroom disruptions 330, 17%
- Mental health for staff 330, 17%
- Staff and/or students are not trained in "Avoid, deny defend" or "run, hide, fight" 312, 16%
- Parent involvement 282, 14%
- Student/students relationships 274, 14%
- o Insufficient fencing/gates 271, 14%
- o Entrance lobby 267, 13%
- Mental health 260, 13%
- Students, staff and/or parents are not well informed about the threat assessment process 234, 12%
- Social media 231, 12%
- Crisis plans don't include all potential events 225, 11%
- o Bullying 223, 11%
- Student engagement 218, 11%
- Not able to communicate directly with first responders 202, 10%
- o Restrooms 197, 10%
- Cell phones 181, 9%
- No anonymous reporting system in place for threats 171, 9%
- o Aggressive behaviors 166, 8%
- o Incomplete building repairs 164, 8%
- o Bus referrals 160, 8%
- Need to improve unannounced lockdown drill implementation 160, 8%
- o Insufficient key/access control 147, 7%
- Insufficient signage 146, 7%
- Two-way communications 146, 7%
- o School connectedness 144, 7%
- Student/adult relationships 143, 7%
- o High-risk area monitoring 129, 7%
- o Perceptions of safety 126, 6%
- o Professional growth opportunities 123, 6%
- \circ Threat assessment training is not up to date 117, 6% \circ

- Windows cannot be covered 116, 6%
- Landscaping hazards 114, 6%
- Crisis preparedness 102, 5%
- Custodial closets and mechanical rooms 102, 5%
- o Non-compliance 101, 5%
 - Bus loading area 100, 5%
- > Physical environment 99, 5%
- O Poor or broken lighting 94, 5%
- Visitor management system not sufficient 90, 5%
- o Physical Incidents/fighting 85, 4%
- Uncleanly building 85, 4%
- Windows need repair or upgrades 82, 4%
- Need to improve communication between admin and SRO 81, 4%
- Work/assignment completion 80, 4%
- Substance abuse 73, 4%
- Insufficient security alarm 65, 3%
- o Cafeteria 63, 3%
- Identification badges 61, 3%
- o Rigorous instruction 60, 3%
- Acceptable use policy (computers/internet) 59, 3%
- Supportive/consistent leadership 59, 3%
- Staff need more training on role of SRO 57, 3%
- Cyberbullying 51, 3%
- Staff collegiality 44, 2%
- Destruction of school property 41, 2%
- Exterior entrances are not locked during the day 39, 2%
- Easy, prohibited roof access 38, 2%
- Main entrance is not controlled by an electronic access system 38, 2%
- SROs need more training 30, 2%
- Hallways/Walkways 29, 1%
- Out of school suspensions 28, 1%
- Suicide/self-harm 21, 1%
- Doors are not able to be locked from outside 20,
 1%
- Threats of self-harm are not handled in a consistent manner 18, 1%
- o In school suspensions 14, 1%
- o Tolerance 12, 1%
- Academic integrity 11, 1%
- Drill accommodations for staff/students are not sufficient 10, 1%
- o Security of records 6, 0.3%
- Weapons 1, 0.1%

Division is aware of issue

N=9.885

- Yes 8,487, 86%
- o No 1,398, 14%

Resources needed (select all the apply)	Training/Professional development 4059, 15%	
N=26,218	o Funding 3325, 13%	
	No resources needed, will handle in-house 3246, 12%	
	o Additional staff 2373, 9%	
	o Time 1689, 6%	
	Mental health resources 1634, 6%	
	o Community partnerships 1099, 4%	
	Construction/renovations 1085, 4%	
	o Other (describe) 979, 4%	
	o Issue resolved 917, 3%	
	o Clear guidance 851, 3%	
	o Student training 808, 3%	
	Cameras/camera system upgrades 684, 3%	
	o VTSS/PBIS 590, 2%	
	Replacement locks/door repair 583, 2%	
	Additional security personnel 533, 2%	
	Improved communications equipment 369, 1%	
	Alternatives to suspension 261, 1%	
	o Fencing/gates 213, 1%	
	o Signage 185, 1%	
	Review of jobs, workload and policies 180, 1%	
	Security system repairs or upgrades 139, 1%	
	o Building repairs/upgrades 96, 0.4%	
	Window coverings 94, 0.4%	
	o Re-keying of doors 90, 0.3%	
	o Lighting 69, 0.3%	
	Visitor management equipment 67, 0.3%	
39. Have you seen any of the following changes	select all that apply	
from the 2020–2021 school year to	N=1,982	
2021–2022?	Decrease in student attendance 524, 26%	
2021-2022!	o Increase in out-of-school suspensions 465, 23%	
	 Decrease in out-of-school suspensions 418, 21% 	
	o Increase in student attendance 415, 21%	
	 Increase in student reporting of threatening or aberrant behavior 408, 	
	21%	
	o Increase in bullying behavior 242, 12%	
	Decrease in bullying behavior 188, 9%	
	 Decrease in student reporting of threatening or aberrant behavior 85, 4% 	
	o Increase in criminal activity 81, 4%	
	Decrease in criminal activity 62, 3%	
	o None 418, 21%	
	o Other 153, 8%	
I.f. A		
If ANY change has been seen		
39. What do you feel these changes could be	Select all that apply	
attributed to?	N=1,564	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o Remote learning/pandemic 803, 51%	
	o Impact of COVID-19 mask mandates 382, 24%	
	 Alternative discipline strategies 341, 22% 	
	 School climate/culture change 338, 22% 	
	 Strong focus on relationships 334, 22% 	
	New/different policies and procedures 250, 16%	
	VTSS/PBIS/Restorative Justice 198, 13%	
	New administration/staff 176, 11%	
	o Implementation of new program (describe) 91, 6%	
	o Small class size 62, 4%	
	o Other 236, 15%	
L	,	

Questions contained in this survey may elicit responses that are exempt from public release pursuant to the *Code of Virginia* § 2.2-3705.2 and § 22.1-279.8. Each public body is responsible for exercising its discretion in determining whether such exemptions will be invoked. The DCJS Virginia Center for School and Campus Safety will report aggregate survey data for all schools and divisions and will not share individual school responses unless otherwise required by state law.

Resources

School Safety Audit Program

Virginia School Safety Audit Infographic

Crisis and Emergency Planning

Division Guide for Crisis Management Planning

Critical Incident Response Video

Critical Incident Response for School Faculty and Staff

School Crisis, Emergency Management and Medical Emergency Response Plan

School Crisis, Emergency Management and Medical Emergency Response Plan - Quick Guide

Guidance on Emergency Manager Designee

Guidance for School Systems in the Event Victims Arise from an Emergency 2018

The Virginia Educator's Guide for Planning and Conducting School Emergency Drills

Guidance on Required Evacuation/Fire and Lockdown Drills 2016 (update pending)

Virginia Schools Bus Driver and Monitor Safety and Security Manual

Virginia Schools Bus Driver and Monitor Video

Academic Community Exercise Starter Kit

Virginia Safety Planning Guide for Individuals with Special Needs

Threat Assessment

Threat Assessment in Virginia Public Schools: Model Policies, Procedures and Guidelines – pdf

<u>K-12 Threat Assessment in Virginia: A Prevention Overview for School Staff, Parents, and Community Members</u>

K-12 Threat Assessment Video

K-12 Threat Assessment Form - Fillable pdf

K-12 Threat Assessment Form - Fillable MSWord

<u>Technical Assistance for Threat Assessment and Management Teams for Virginia Schools and Institutions of Higher Education</u>

Threat Management Consultant – Request for Services

Bullying and School Climate

School Climate, Student Engagement and Academic Achievement

Preventing Teen Dating Violence: Interactive Guide on Informing Policy

US DOE School Climate and Discipline Packet

Suicide and bullying: Issue brief (SPRC)

Bullying: The Relationship Between Bullying and Suicide: What We Know and What it Means for Schools

Model Policy to Address Bullying in Virginia Schools (DOE)

Preventing Youth Suicide – National Association of School Psychologists

Additional K-12 Resources

Handle With Care Virginia

U.S. Department of Education Acts on School Safety Report Recommendation to Improve Understanding of Student Privacy Law